**Date**: 8/9/2017

**Attendees**: Yage, Zheng, Helen and Karen

**Discussed**:

- Yage & Zheng’s questions:

Question 1. What can we learn from sample list which the current method doesn’t catch.

Question 2. What can we learn from 4 matched report number?

Question 3. 4. What can we learn from false positives?

Helen:

There might be a difference between FDA internal database and MAUDE, focus on build up text mining method rather than matching records between internal database and MAUDE.

- No worry on the false positive records compared with Asiyah’s BI list; the reason of false positive MIGHT be some records have the same MDR events but different devices/accessories, or reporters.

**Action items**:

Yage & Zheng:

1. Send MAUDE database table column description summary to Helen. (Done)
2. Use 20170809 MAUDE database files searched by using product codes FWM & FTR as data file for all future data analysis.
3. Build up text mining method to extract info from text, try to find out BI surface type, filling type, and ALCL information from key data elements that are important for future analysis.
4. Please provide the word frequencies for the text narratives in foitext.

Asiyah:

1. Send Yage & Zheng the list of information to extract (key column list, keywords list)
2. Send ontology/keywords of identifying ALCL records to Yage & Zheng
3. Set up team weekly meeting invite with room# and WebEx